

Chairman, Norman C. Bay  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street NE, Suite 11A  
Washington, D.C. 20426

RE: Docket No. CP15-558-000 - PennEast Pipeline Draft EIS

PennEast's Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS), Erosion and Sediment Control Plan (E&SCP) is mentioned **160** times and described in the index as being "Appendix D E&SCP" and again in Volume II as "Appendix D PennEast Erosion and Sediment Control Plan" yet when one gets to Volume II - "Appendix D PennEast Erosion and Sediment Control Plan" they find "This page intentionally left blank." However, if they keep looking, one might find "APPENDIX E DRAFT Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan" not to be confused with "Appendix E Agricultural Impact Minimization Plan" also referred to as "Appendix E Ag Minimization Plan". If one searches too far they come across, "Appendix E2 Erosion & Sediment Pollution Control Plans (NOT INCLUDED)". Which is right? Is there a Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan hidden somewhere in this document?

Unlike PennEast's "comprehensive Blasting Plan" which is mentioned **24** times which is not included in the index as an appendix and is completely missing from the document. How is anyone, including FERC, supposed to evaluate a plan that does not exist? Please describe how you did this.

This represents yet another lack of basic quality control and fundamental engineering professionalism required to safely design and construct an interstate pipeline. PennEast has demonstrated they have neither the basic quality control and fundamental engineering professionalism required to safely design and construct an interstate pipeline. If they cannot get the most basic paperwork correct, how can they be expected to get the construction done correctly?

Chairman Bay, as the chief executive of FERC, it is clear that your staff who reviewed this proposal failed to catch these most basic errors. Given the number of errors and gaps in data in this DEIS required to construct a safe pipeline with no or minimal impacts to the environment, I am requesting that the entire draft EIS be resubmitted at a time when these issues will have been resolved.

The health, safety and welfare of our communities is too important to risk it for the monetary enhancement of a few individuals.

Sincerely,  
Richard Dodds  
Kingwood Township Committee

cc: Kimberly Bose, Secretary  
Nathaniel J Davis, Sr., Deputy Secretary